

From: [Martin Grice](#)
To: [Aquind Interconnector](#)
Subject: Further Objection To The Aquind Interconnector Planned for the City of Portsmouth
Date: 11 January 2026 09:28:48

To whom it may concern,

I have on numerous occasions sent my objections to the approval Aquind Interconnector project proposed to be implemented in Portsmouth. We are currently experiencing a small taster to what Portsmouth would suffer as a result of the approval of this project . We have a section of one of Portsmouth's three arterial routes into the city being closed for three months whilst a sewer is replaced. It has resulted in utter chaos total gridlock and a huge increase in pollution to our city. This is totally unacceptable and I reiterate .

I vehemently object to the implementation of this Aquind Connector project on the following grounds... Margaret Thatcher did the U.K. a massive disservice by putting the U.K. energy sector into the hands of private enterprises to be run on a “for profit” basis. These companies are now publishing profits of eye watering proportions, whilst our citizens struggle to keep warm, and pay their bills.

The lack of investment in our networks and power stations, the alleged asset stripping, now leave us (we are told) with an inadequate supply, and no future proofing at all. All this mainly based on the myopic focus on inadequate electric vehicles that have just a 300-milebattery range only, and are not fit for purpose, but are still considered as a route to solving our pollution issues.

These amongst other things have been used to artificially exaggerate the future power capacity requirements for the U.K. leading to the U.K. government making some ill- founded decisions to implement additional third-party supply via massive construction projects. Which will come at significantly increased supply cost to customers and massive disruption and massive cost to those in the way of any new implementations of these projects and subjected to compulsory purchase orders. Rather than look to alternative technology like hydrogen powered vehicles that emit steam rather than CO2 which would ease our electrical capacity requirements and air pollution. The whole government zero emissions concept is flawed and is totally unacceptable.

National Grid PLC are responsible for the backbone Electricity supply network in the U.K.. They already have interconnections with other EU countries, that introduced based on them being used as a two-way transaction with the U.K. buying and selling energy. There are already sufficient interconnectors existing or planned. They add up to 19.5 GW when the government in their paper asked for 18 GW by 2030

this additional project is already surplus to requirements. And due to its decommissioning of power stations and lack of investment in alternative sources National Grid PLC is already buying more than its selling and customers paying a premium price for third party supply. This must cease!

Britain is now in great danger because its opened much of the governments services (and so has retail and commerce) stupidly to a single point failure system.

..... Electricity.

Without it our whole countries services grind to a halt. Our power grid and communications system is fragmented amongst a mix of companies control many foreign entities and a huge risk to our national security . There's are very limited back up systems so in the event of a catastrophe of any kind everything stops. This is a foolhardy policy, this country needs to be self sufficient in electricity with backup systems. No dependence at all on any third party supply. Bring service and sales back to a human faced service for resilience in the event of catastrophe of any type.

This new Aquind interconnect to Portsmouth from France has been muted as a solution to a non-existent capacity problem, in that it will facilitate additional energy supply from the well invested French government owned National Grid. However, The French have already refused this project, in 2021 that refusal is still valid, so there's no source of supply. The UK should stand by its decision to refuse it too. UK needs to become self-sufficient in energy, this money needs to be invested here not in foreign run for profit companies and not a Russian backed company that currently doesn't appear to have the capability to deliver.

For Portsmouth this huge inter-connector project will constitute massive disruption. This high profile and controversial proposal by Russian backed AQUIND for an Electrical and Data Cable Inter-connector between France and Portsmouth highlights the environmental and community impact of any large energy transmission project. This and many other large projects are at the core of this governments net zero target, and it appears there's scant regard for the damage the implementation of these project will have on people, property, the environment, and flora and fauna. The whole premise of the placement of this connector seems flawed anyway.

There was a feasibility study by NGET in 2014 to find a connection point into the grid. This feasibility study has not been seen by anyone but Aquind. The Judge during the High Court Judicial Review and the Secretary of State had asked for access. This is an out- dated study now, years later and why has nobody else had access to it, why has it not been scrutinised in detail to check if this is in fact the only available solution? Why have

the minutes of any meeting between Aquind Ltd, NGET and any other party involved not been made available?

This project includes fibre data cable installation too. Any unmonitored Russian fibre data cables access to the UK's backbone data network is surely a major risk to national security.

The people of Portsmouth and affected surrounding areas have woken up to what's planned by Aquind and strongly objected on well justified grounds and had Aquind's planning application refused once already. Portsmouth's own "Stop AQUIND" campaigners were ecstatic in January

2022, when the then Secretary of State turned down AQUIND Limited's application for a Development Consent Order. The project being proposed would and still will be (if granted) hugely damaging to their city and beyond. This project in Portsmouth illustrates how much foreign money is

involved in these projects that have massive impact on so much and so many. The UK government handing power and profit to privately owned foreign energy companies that ship in, cause chaos and ship out without consequence at taxpayers' expense and inconvenience. This is totally unacceptable! In the light of the recent Russian power hold over EU gas supplies why would the UK want to embark on more reliance on a Russian supplier control of our vital energy supplies. It's absolute madness.

The proposed route of the Aquind cables includes tunnelling under important community facilities and protected habitats, plus deep and disruptive trenches gouging through vital road links, through dense urban areas, as well as areas for recreation and nature. There is also the temporary but deeply disruptive long-term use of carparks and other public spaces during the years of installation. For this incredibly disruptive project, an entire main road will be dug up, land will be compulsorily- purchased, farmland, allotments, and countryside trenched and contaminated.

Traffic disrupted and stationary causing masses more pollution, to a city already badly polluted, with some particulates so small they can transport through lung tissue and into the bloodstream. Businesses will suffer, visitors will avoid Portsmouth like the plague. It's already gridlocked most of the day, this will exacerbate the problems for years not weeks!

AQUIND launched a judicial review to overturn the original planning refusal decision. AQUIND Ltd v Secretary of State for BEIS hearings were held at the High Court on 22-23 November 2022. On 25 January, judgement was made in favour of

AQUIND. I believe the judgement was flawed based on the objections provided and the original planning refusal justified.

Please uphold the original decision to reject this project for once and for all. To summarise. The harm and damage to Portsmouth's local environment this project will constitute far outweighs the benefits. This is totally unacceptable. We don't want our city disrupted on such a massive scale for years, we don't want the infrastructure, implementing it is a risk to us, and a massive cost to us in terms of business lost, and loss of leisure facilities for years. There will be risk to health from additional pollution, risk to life caused by traffic congestion hampering ambulances and fire trucks from attending incidents and moving very poorly patients to hospitals. Loss of green space, trees, loss of use of allotments, potential soil contamination the list is endless. There only three main access routes to the island of Portsmouth, this will largely disrupt one of the busiest, and dissuade visitors coming to the city, businesses will suffer as a result! This project will not reduce the cost of energy, it will be sold to us on a "for profit" basis. It will also hand control of supply to foreign powers. This alone is enough justification not to embark in this project!

Best regards

Martin Grice

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)